

From: Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 3/28/2012 10:51:40 AM
To: Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
CC:
Subject: Fw: could you please take a quick read and let me know if this is accurate?

How does this look?

Gerald T. Heston, Chief
Eastern Response Branch (3HS31)
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 3
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone: 215-814-3273
Fax: 215-814-3254

----- Forwarded by Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US on 03/28/2012 10:51 AM -----

From: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
To: gerald.heston@epa.gov
Date: 03/28/2012 10:39 AM
Subject: Fw: could you please take a quick read and let me know if this is accurate?

Jerry, Evidently my use of detection limit was technically inaccurate. Cindy made some minor changes to a couple of my sentences. One of the words replaced was detection limits by quantitation limits. It reads well to me and seems to be an improvement over what I had sent earlier. However, I understand quantitation might not be a word that we want to use. I really can't find a simpler or more common word to use as substitute. I thought maybe you could add in parenthesis *(minimum concentration of an analyte that can be measured within specified limits of precision and accuracy)*

Let me know if you want to work on this more please.

----- Forwarded by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US on 03/28/2012 10:19 AM -----

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
To: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Cc: Sue Warner/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/28/2012 09:45 AM
Subject: Re: could you please take a quick read and let me know if this is accurate?

Rich,

Here is a version for your consideration.

Cindy

Cynthia Caporale, Chief
OASQA Laboratory Branch
U.S. EPA Region III
Environmental Science Center

Fort Meade, MD
(410) 305-2732
Fax: (410) 305-3095

From: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/28/2012 08:38 AM
Subject: Re: could you please take a quick read and let me know if this is accurate?

I know we agreed on noon for your comments, but if you could manage 11am instead it would appreciated.

Also, one of things Dennis really wants to keep it very non- technical...

Richard Rupert
On-Scene Coordinator
Region III U.S.Environmental Protection Agency
1650 Arch Street, 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029
(215) 814-3463 FAX (215) 814-3254
e-mail rupert.richard@epa.gov

----- Original Message -----

From: Cynthia Caporale
Sent: 03/27/2012 04:03 PM EDT
To: Richard Rupert
Subject: Re: could you please take a quick read and let me know if this is accurate?
Working on it.

Cynthia Caporale, Chief
OASQA Laboratory Branch
U.S. EPA Region III
Environmental Science Center
Fort Meade, MD
(410) 305-2732
Fax: (410) 305-3095

From: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/27/2012 03:18 PM
Subject: could you please take a quick read and let me know if this is accurate?

thanks.

[attachment "The analytical methods used to identify contaminants in the groundwaters of Dimock were selected to provide the broadest coverage possible.docx" deleted by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US]

Richard Rupert,
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514-8773 - mobile
rupert.richard@epa.gov

"The commander in the field is always right and the rear echelon is wrong, unless proved otherwise."
Colin Powell

